Posted Pricings and Prophet Inequalities

Aaron Roth

University of Pennsylvania

April 1 2025

► We've seen (if we know the valuation distributions) how to maximize social welfare and revenue with an auction.

- ► We've seen (if we know the valuation distributions) how to maximize social welfare and revenue with an auction.
- ▶ But auctions are difficult to run. They require e.g. all participants to be present and coordinating.

- ► We've seen (if we know the valuation distributions) how to maximize social welfare and revenue with an auction.
- But auctions are difficult to run. They require e.g. all participants to be present and coordinating.
- ▶ Many things are instead sold via posted prices.

- ► We've seen (if we know the valuation distributions) how to maximize social welfare and revenue with an auction.
- But auctions are difficult to run. They require e.g. all participants to be present and coordinating.
- Many things are instead sold via posted prices.
- ➤ This lecture: How to approximate the welfare and revenue of the optimal auction with posted prices

A Model:

▶ *k* recognizable *types* of buyers (based on demographics, purchase history, or anything else).

A Model:

- ▶ *k* recognizable *types* of buyers (based on demographics, purchase history, or anything else).
- ▶ Buyers of type *i* have valuation $v_i \sim D_i$, where D_i regular.

A Model:

- ▶ *k* recognizable *types* of buyers (based on demographics, purchase history, or anything else).
- ▶ Buyers of type *i* have valuation $v_i \sim D_i$, where D_i regular.
- Buyers arrive one at a time until the item is sold.

A Model:

- ▶ *k* recognizable *types* of buyers (based on demographics, purchase history, or anything else).
- ▶ Buyers of type *i* have valuation $v_i \sim D_i$, where D_i regular.
- ▶ Buyers arrive one at a time until the item is sold.
- ▶ Buyers of type i face price p_i . If $v_i \ge p_i$ they buy the item, and we get revenue p_i . Otherwise they pass.

A Model:

- ▶ *k* recognizable *types* of buyers (based on demographics, purchase history, or anything else).
- ▶ Buyers of type *i* have valuation $v_i \sim D_i$, where D_i regular.
- ▶ Buyers arrive one at a time until the item is sold.
- ▶ Buyers of type *i* face price p_i . If $v_i \ge p_i$ they buy the item, and we get revenue p_i . Otherwise they pass.

Are there choices of p_i that allow us to approximate the welfare or revenue of the optimal auction?

Consider the following game:

In each of n steps $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, you are offered a prize $\pi_i \sim G_i$. (The distributions G_i are known in advance).

Consider the following game:

- ▶ In each of n steps $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, you are offered a prize $\pi_i \sim G_i$. (The distributions G_i are known in advance).
- At each step i, after seeing π_i , you can either choose to accept it and end the game or reject it and continue.

Consider the following game:

- ▶ In each of n steps $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, you are offered a prize $\pi_i \sim G_i$. (The distributions G_i are known in advance).
- At each step i, after seeing π_i , you can either choose to accept it and end the game or reject it and continue.
- ➤ A prophet could forsee all of the prizes and make sure to always take the highest one. His expected profit would be:

$$Profit(Prophet) = \mathbb{E}[\max_{i} \pi_{i}]$$

Consider the following game:

- In each of n steps $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, you are offered a prize $\pi_i \sim G_i$. (The distributions G_i are known in advance).
- At each step i, after seeing π_i , you can either choose to accept it and end the game or reject it and continue.
- ➤ A prophet could forsee all of the prizes and make sure to always take the highest one. His expected profit would be:

$$Profit(Prophet) = \mathbb{E}[\max_{i} \pi_{i}]$$

How well can you do?

Definition

A threshold strategy fixes some threshold t and accepts the first prize such that $\pi_i \geq t$.

Definition

A *threshold* strategy fixes some threshold t and accepts the first prize such that $\pi_i \geq t$.

An immediate connection to welfare: t corresponds to price p, accepting reward π_i corresponds to obtaining welfare v_i .

Definition

A *threshold* strategy fixes some threshold t and accepts the first prize such that $\pi_i \geq t$.

An immediate connection to welfare: t corresponds to price p, accepting reward π_i corresponds to obtaining welfare v_i .

Theorem

For every set of distributions G_1, \ldots, G_n , there is a threshold strategy that guarantees reward at least $\frac{1}{2}E[\max_i \pi_i]$.

Notation: $z^+ = max(z, 0)$, $V^* = max_i \pi_i$.

- Notation: $z^+ = max(z, 0)$, $V^* = max_i \pi_i$.
- We'll use threshold $t = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*]$.

- Notation: $z^+ = max(z, 0)$, $V^* = max_i \pi_i$.
- ▶ We'll use threshold $t = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*]$.
- ▶ We'll use language of the economic application:
 - "item is unsold" ⇔ "We don't accept any prizes"
 - "item is sold" ⇔ "We accept a prize"

- Notation: $z^+ = max(z, 0)$, $V^* = max_i \pi_i$.
- ▶ We'll use threshold $t = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*]$.
- ▶ We'll use language of the economic application:
 - "item is unsold" ⇔ "We don't accept any prizes"
 - "item is sold" ⇔ "We accept a prize"
- ► We'll prove the prophet inequality by decomposing expected reward between:
 - 1. Expected revenue, and
 - 2. Expected buyer utility.

► To show: Expected welfare (reward) is large.

- ► To show: Expected welfare (reward) is large.
- ► Suppose we sell to buyer *i* at price *p* (select reward *i*):
 - ▶ We obtain revenue *p*
 - Buyer obtains *utility* $v_i p$.

- ► To show: Expected welfare (reward) is large.
- Suppose we sell to buyer i at price p (select reward i):
 - ► We obtain revenue *p*
 - ▶ Buyer obtains *utility* $v_i p$.
- ▶ Welfare = Revenue + Buyer Utility.

- ► To show: Expected welfare (reward) is large.
- Suppose we sell to buyer i at price p (select reward i):
 - We obtain revenue p
 - Buyer obtains *utility* $v_i p$.
- Welfare = Revenue + Buyer Utility.
- Strategy: Prove lower bounds on expected revenue and buyer utility separately.

$$E[Revenue] = p \cdot Pr[Item is sold] = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot Pr[Item is sold]$$

Expected Revenue:

$$E[Revenue] = p \cdot Pr[Item is sold] = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot Pr[Item is sold]$$

Buyer Utility:

$$E[Revenue] = p \cdot Pr[Item is sold] = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot Pr[Item is sold]$$

- Buyer Utility:
 - If we get to buyer *i* before selling the item, she has opportunity to buy. So her utility is $(v_i p)^+$.

$$E[Revenue] = p \cdot Pr[Item is sold] = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot Pr[Item is sold]$$

- Buyer Utility:
 - If we get to buyer *i* before selling the item, she has opportunity to buy. So her utility is $(v_i p)^+$.
 - So expected buyer utility is:

$$E[\text{Utility}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \text{Pr}[\text{item is unsold before } i]$$

$$E[Revenue] = p \cdot Pr[Item is sold] = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot Pr[Item is sold]$$

- Buyer Utility:
 - If we get to buyer *i* before selling the item, she has opportunity to buy. So her utility is $(v_i p)^+$.
 - So expected buyer utility is:

$$E[\text{Utility}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \text{Pr}[\text{item is unsold before } i]$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \text{Pr}[\text{item is unsold}]$$

$$E[Revenue] = p \cdot Pr[Item is sold] = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot Pr[Item is sold]$$

- Buyer Utility:
 - If we get to buyer *i* before selling the item, she has opportunity to buy. So her utility is $(v_i p)^+$.
 - So expected buyer utility is:

$$E[\text{Utility}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold before } i]$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}]$$

$$\geq E[\max_{i} (v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}]$$

$$E[Revenue] = p \cdot Pr[Item is sold] = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot Pr[Item is sold]$$

- Buyer Utility:
 - If we get to buyer *i* before selling the item, she has opportunity to buy. So her utility is $(v_i p)^+$.
 - So expected buyer utility is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Utility}] &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{E}[(v_i - \rho)^+] \cdot \mathsf{Pr}[\mathrm{item} \; \mathrm{is} \; \mathrm{unsold} \; \mathrm{before} \; i] \\ & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{E}[(v_i - \rho)^+] \cdot \mathsf{Pr}[\mathrm{item} \; \mathrm{is} \; \mathrm{unsold}] \\ & \geq \mathrm{E}[\max_i (v_i - \rho)^+] \cdot \mathsf{Pr}[\mathrm{item} \; \mathrm{is} \; \mathrm{unsold}] \\ & \geq (\mathrm{E}[\max_i v_i] - \rho) \cdot \mathsf{Pr}[\mathrm{item} \; \mathrm{is} \; \mathrm{unsold}] \end{aligned}$$

$$E[Revenue] = p \cdot Pr[Item is sold] = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot Pr[Item is sold]$$

- Buyer Utility:
 - If we get to buyer *i* before selling the item, she has opportunity to buy. So her utility is $(v_i p)^+$.
 - So expected buyer utility is:

$$E[\text{Utility}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold before } i]$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[(v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}]$$

$$\geq E[\max_{i} (v_i - p)^+] \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}]$$

$$\geq (E[\max_{i} v_i] - p) \cdot \Pr[\text{item is unsold}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} E[V^*] \cdot \Pr[\text{Item is unsold}]$$

$$E[Welfare] = E[Revenue] + E[Utility]$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{E[Welfare]} &= & \text{E[Revenue]} + \text{E[Utility]} \\ & \geq & \frac{1}{2} \text{E}[V^*] \cdot \text{Pr[Item is sold]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{E}[V^*] \cdot \text{Pr[Item is unsold]} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{E[Welfare]} &= & \text{E[Revenue]} + \text{E[Utility]} \\ & \geq & \frac{1}{2} \text{E}[V^*] \cdot \text{Pr[Item is sold]} + \frac{1}{2} \text{E}[V^*] \cdot \text{Pr[Item is unsold]} \\ & = & \frac{1}{2} \text{E}[V^*] \cdot \left(\text{Pr[Item is sold]} + \text{Pr[Item is unsold]} \right) \end{split}$$

$$E[Welfare] = E[Revenue] + E[Utility]$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot Pr[Item is sold] + \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot Pr[Item is unsold]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}E[V^*] \cdot (Pr[Item is sold] + Pr[Item is unsold])$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}E[V^*]$$

Welfare

Immediate implications for welfare maximization!

▶ Using a *single* fixed price $p = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*]$, can obtain half the expected welfare of the VCG mechanism.

Welfare

Immediate implications for welfare maximization!

- ▶ Using a *single* fixed price $p = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*]$, can obtain half the expected welfare of the VCG mechanism.
- Without needing to gather all bidders ahead of time, and despite the uncertainty about realizations!

Welfare

Immediate implications for welfare maximization!

- ▶ Using a *single* fixed price $p = \frac{1}{2}E[V^*]$, can obtain half the expected welfare of the VCG mechanism.
- Without needing to gather all bidders ahead of time, and despite the uncertainty about realizations!
- What about for revenue?



$$E[Revenue] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(v_i)X(v)]$$

Recall that for monotone allocation rules X paired with truthful pricings P:

$$E[Revenue] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(v_i)X(v)]$$

▶ Optimal revenue is $OPT = E[\max_i(\phi_i(v_i))^+]$.

$$\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{Revenue}] = \mathrm{E}[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(v_i) X(v)]$$

- ▶ Optimal revenue is $OPT = E[max_i(\phi_i(v_i))^+]$.
- ▶ Define $\pi_i = (\phi_i(v_i))^+$. So $E[V^*] = OPT$.

$$E[Revenue] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(v_i)X(v)]$$

- ▶ Optimal revenue is $OPT = E[\max_i(\phi_i(v_i))^+].$
- ▶ Define $\pi_i = (\phi_i(v_i))^+$. So $E[V^*] = OPT$.
- We can achieve *virtual value* at least $\frac{1}{2}$ OPT with threshold t = OPT/2.

$$E[Revenue] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(v_i)X(v)]$$

- ▶ Optimal revenue is $OPT = E[\max_i(\phi_i(v_i))^+].$
- ▶ Define $\pi_i = (\phi_i(v_i))^+$. So $E[V^*] = OPT$.
- We can achieve *virtual value* at least $\frac{1}{2}OPT$ with threshold t = OPT/2.
- ▶ This corresponds to setting threshold/price $p_i = \phi_i^{-1} \left(\frac{\text{OPT}}{2} \right)$.
 - Note a fixed price corresponds to a monotone allocation rule with payment = price)

$$E[Revenue] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i(v_i)X(v)]$$

- ▶ Optimal revenue is $OPT = E[\max_i(\phi_i(v_i))^+]$.
- ▶ Define $\pi_i = (\phi_i(v_i))^+$. So $E[V^*] = OPT$.
- We can achieve *virtual value* at least $\frac{1}{2}OPT$ with threshold t = OPT/2.
- ▶ This corresponds to setting threshold/price $p_i = \phi_i^{-1} \left(\frac{\text{OPT}}{2} \right)$.
 - Note a fixed price corresponds to a monotone allocation rule with payment = price)
- We need to use different prices for different types of bidders, but approximate optimal revenue.

Thanks!

See you next class — stay healthy!