

Chapter 3

Haar Bases, Haar Wavelets

3.1 Introduction to Signal Compression Using Haar Wavelets

We begin by considering *Haar wavelets* in \mathbb{R}^4 .

Wavelets play an important role in audio and video signal processing, especially for *compressing* long signals into much smaller ones that still retain enough information so that when they are played, we can't see or hear any difference.

Consider the four vectors w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 given by

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad w_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad w_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Note that these vectors are pairwise orthogonal, so they are indeed linearly independent (we will see this in a later chapter).

Let $\mathcal{W} = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ be the *Haar basis*, and let $\mathcal{U} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^4 .

The change of basis matrix $W = P_{\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{U}}$ from \mathcal{U} to \mathcal{W} is given by

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and we easily find that the inverse of W is given by

$$W^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, the vector $v = (6, 4, 5, 1)$ over the basis \mathcal{U} becomes $c = (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) = (4, 1, 1, 2)$ over the Haar basis \mathcal{W} , with

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given a signal $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$, we first *transform* v into its coefficients $c = (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$ over the Haar basis by computing $c = W^{-1}v$. Observe that

$$c_1 = \frac{v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4}{4}$$

is the overall *average* value of the signal v . The coefficient c_1 corresponds to the background of the image (or of the sound).

Then, c_2 gives the coarse details of v , whereas, c_3 gives the details in the first part of v , and c_4 gives the details in the second half of v .

Reconstruction of the signal consists in computing $v = Wc$.

The trick for good *compression* is to throw away some of the coefficients of c (set them to zero), obtaining a *compressed signal* \hat{c} , and still retain enough crucial information so that the reconstructed signal $\hat{v} = W\hat{c}$ looks almost as good as the original signal v .

Thus, the steps are:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{input } v &\longrightarrow \text{coefficients } c = W^{-1}v &\longrightarrow \text{compressed } \hat{c} \\ & &\longrightarrow \text{compressed } \hat{v} = W\hat{c}. \end{aligned}$$

This kind of compression scheme makes modern video conferencing possible.

It turns out that there is a faster way to find $c = W^{-1}v$, without actually using W^{-1} . This has to do with the multiscale nature of Haar wavelets.

Given the original signal $v = (6, 4, 5, 1)$ shown in Figure 3.1, we compute averages and half differences obtaining




Figure 3.1: The original signal v

Figure 3.2: We get the coefficients $c_3 = 1$ and $c_4 = 2$.



Figure 3.2: First averages and first half differences

Note that the original signal v can be reconstructed from the two signals in Figure 3.2.

Then, again we compute averages and half differences obtaining Figure 3.3.



Figure 3.3: Second averages and second half differences

We get the coefficients $c_1 = 4$ and $c_2 = 1$.

Again, the signal on the left of Figure 3.2 can be reconstructed from the two signals in Figure 3.3.

3.2 Haar Bases and Haar Matrices, Scaling Properties of Haar Wavelets

This method can be generalized to signals of any length 2^n . The previous case corresponds to $n = 2$.

Let us consider the case $n = 3$.

The Haar basis $(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_5, w_6, w_7, w_8)$ is given by the matrix

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The columns of this matrix are orthogonal and it is easy to see that

$$W^{-1} = \text{diag}(1/8, 1/8, 1/4, 1/4, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2, 1/2)W^{\top}.$$

A pattern is beginning to emerge. It looks like the second Haar basis vector w_2 is the “mother” of all the other basis vectors, except the first, whose purpose is to perform averaging.

Indeed, in general, given

$$w_2 = \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1)}_{2^n},$$

the other Haar basis vectors are obtained by a “scaling and shifting process.”

Starting from w_2 , the scaling process generates the vectors

$$w_3, w_5, w_9, \dots, w_{2^{j+1}}, \dots, w_{2^{n-1}+1},$$

such that $w_{2^{j+1}+1}$ is obtained from w_{2^j+1} by forming two consecutive blocks of 1 and -1 of half the size of the blocks in w_{2^j+1} , and setting all other entries to zero. Observe that w_{2^j+1} has 2^j blocks of 2^{n-j} elements.

The shifting process, consists in shifting the blocks of 1 and -1 in w_{2^j+1} to the right by inserting a block of $(k-1)2^{n-j}$ zeros from the left, with $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2^j$.

Thus, we obtain the following formula for w_{2^j+k} :

$$w_{2^j+k}(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq i \leq (k-1)2^{n-j} \\ 1 & (k-1)2^{n-j} + 1 \leq i \leq (k-1)2^{n-j} + 2^{n-j-1} \\ -1 & (k-1)2^{n-j} + 2^{n-j-1} + 1 \leq i \leq k2^{n-j} \\ 0 & k2^{n-j} + 1 \leq i \leq 2^n, \end{cases}$$

with $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2^j$.

Of course

$$w_1 = \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_{2^n}.$$

The above formulae look a little better if we change our indexing slightly by letting k vary from 0 to $2^j - 1$ and using the index j instead of 2^j .

In this case, the Haar basis is denoted by

$$w_1, h_0^0, h_0^1, h_1^1, h_0^2, h_1^2, h_2^2, h_3^2, \dots, h_k^j, \dots, h_{2^{n-1}-1}^{n-1},$$

and

$$h_k^j(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq i \leq k2^{n-j} \\ 1 & k2^{n-j} + 1 \leq i \leq k2^{n-j} + 2^{n-j-1} \\ -1 & k2^{n-j} + 2^{n-j-1} + 1 \leq i \leq (k+1)2^{n-j} \\ 0 & (k+1)2^{n-j} + 1 \leq i \leq 2^n, \end{cases}$$

with $0 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq 2^j - 1$.

It turns out that there is a way to understand these formulae better if we interpret a vector $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ as a piecewise linear function over the interval $[0, 1)$.

We define the function $\text{plf}(u)$ such that

$$\text{plf}(u)(x) = u_i, \quad \frac{i-1}{m} \leq x < \frac{i}{m}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

In words, the function $\text{plf}(u)$ has the value u_1 on the interval $[0, 1/m)$, the value u_2 on $[1/m, 2/m)$, etc., and the value u_m on the interval $[(m-1)/m, 1)$.

For example, the piecewise linear function associated with the vector

$$u = (2.4, 2.2, 2.15, 2.05, 6.8, 2.8, -1.1, -1.3)$$

is shown in Figure 3.4.




Figure 3.4: The piecewise linear function $\text{plf}(u)$

Then, each basis vector h_k^j corresponds to the function

$$\psi_k^j = \text{plf}(h_k^j).$$

In particular, for all n , the Haar basis vectors

$$h_0^0 = w_2 = \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1)}_{2^n}$$

yield the same piecewise linear function ψ given by

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1/2 \\ -1 & \text{if } 1/2 \leq x < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

whose graph is shown in Figure 3.5.




Figure 3.5: The Haar wavelet ψ

Then, it is easy to see that ψ_k^j is given by the simple expression

$$\psi_k^j(x) = \psi(2^j x - k), \quad 0 \leq j \leq n - 1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2^j - 1.$$

The above formula makes it clear that ψ_k^j is obtained from ψ by scaling and shifting.

The function $\phi_0^0 = \text{plf}(w_1)$ is the piecewise linear function with the constant value 1 on $[0, 1)$, and the functions ψ_k^j together with ϕ_0^0 are known as the *Haar wavelets*.

Rather than using W^{-1} to convert a vector u to a vector c of coefficients over the Haar basis, and the matrix W to reconstruct the vector u from its Haar coefficients c , we can use faster algorithms that use averaging and differencing.

If c is a vector of Haar coefficients of dimension 2^n , we compute the sequence of vectors u^0, u^1, \dots, u^n as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u^0 &= c \\ u^{j+1} &= u^j \\ u^{j+1}(2i-1) &= u^j(i) + u^j(2^j + i) \\ u^{j+1}(2i) &= u^j(i) - u^j(2^j + i), \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $i = 1, \dots, 2^j$.

The reconstructed vector (signal) is $u = u^n$.

If u is a vector of dimension 2^n , we compute the sequence of vectors c^n, c^{n-1}, \dots, c^0 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} c^n &= u \\ c^j &= c^{j+1} \\ c^j(i) &= (c^{j+1}(2i-1) + c^{j+1}(2i))/2 \\ c^j(2^j + i) &= (c^{j+1}(2i-1) - c^{j+1}(2i))/2, \end{aligned}$$

for $j = n-1, \dots, 0$ and $i = 1, \dots, 2^j$.

The vector over the Haar basis is $c = c^0$.

Here is an example of the conversion of a vector to its Haar coefficients for $n = 3$.

Given the sequence $u = (31, 29, 23, 17, -6, -8, -2, -4)$, we get the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} c^3 &= (31, 29, 23, 17, -6, -8, -2, -4) \\ c^2 &= (30, 20, -7, -3, 1, 3, 1, 1) \\ c^1 &= (25, -5, 5, -2, 1, 3, 1, 1) \\ c^0 &= (10, 15, 5, -2, 1, 3, 1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, given $c = (10, 15, 5, -2, 1, 3, 1, 1)$, we get the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} u^0 &= (10, 15, 5, -2, 1, 3, 1, 1), \\ u^1 &= (25, -5, 5, -2, 1, 3, 1, 1) \\ u^2 &= (30, 20, -7, -3, 1, 3, 1, 1) \\ u^3 &= (31, 29, 23, 17, -6, -8, -2, -4), \end{aligned}$$

which gives back $u = (31, 29, 23, 17, -6, -8, -2, -4)$.

3.3 Kronecker Product Construction of Haar Matrices

There is another recursive method for constructing the Haar matrix W_n of dimension 2^n that makes it clearer why the columns of W_n are pairwise orthogonal, and why the above algorithms are indeed correct (which nobody seems to prove!). If we split W_n into two $2^n \times 2^{n-1}$ matrices, then the second matrix containing the last 2^{n-1} columns of W_n has a very simple structure: it consists of the vector

$$\underbrace{(1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)}_{2^n}$$

and $2^{n-1} - 1$ shifted copies of it, as illustrated below for $n = 3$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that this matrix can be obtained from the identity matrix $I_{2^{n-1}}$, in our example

$$I_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

by forming the $2^n \times 2^{n-1}$ matrix obtained by replacing each 1 by the column vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and each zero by the column vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now the first half of W_n , that is the matrix consisting of the first 2^{n-1} columns of W_n , can be obtained from W_{n-1} by forming the $2^n \times 2^{n-1}$ matrix obtained by replacing each 1 by the column vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

each -1 by the column vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and each zero by the column vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $n = 3$, the first half of W_3 is the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is indeed obtained from

$$W_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

using the process that we just described.

bbdskip These matrix manipulations can be described conveniently using a product operation on matrices known as the Kronecker product.

Definition 3.1. Given a $m \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ and a $p \times q$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$, the *Kronecker product* (or *tensor product*) $A \otimes B$ of A and B is the $mp \times nq$ matrix

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \cdots & a_{1n}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \cdots & a_{2n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & a_{m2}B & \cdots & a_{mn}B \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be shown that \otimes is associative and that

$$\begin{aligned} (A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) &= AC \otimes BD \\ (A \otimes B)^\top &= A^\top \otimes B^\top, \end{aligned}$$

whenever AC and BD are well defined.

Then it is immediately verified that W_n is given by the following neat recursive equations:

$$W_n = \left(W_{n-1} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad I_{2^{n-1}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

with $W_0 = (1)$.

If we let

$$B_1 = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and for $n \geq 1$,

$$B_{n+1} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} B_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2^n} \end{pmatrix},$$

then it is not hard to use the Kronecker product formulation of W_n to obtain a rigorous proof of the equation

$$W_n^\top W_n = B_n, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

The above equation offers a clean justification of the fact that the columns of W_n are pairwise orthogonal.

Observe that the right block (of size $2^n \times 2^{n-1}$) shows clearly how the detail coefficients in the second half of the vector c are added and subtracted to the entries in the first half of the partially reconstructed vector after $n - 1$ steps.

3.4 Multiresolution Signal Analysis with Haar Bases

An important and attractive feature of the Haar basis is that it provides a *multiresolution analysis* of a signal.

Indeed, given a signal u , if $c = (c_1, \dots, c_{2^n})$ is the vector of its Haar coefficients, the coefficients with low index give coarse information about u , and the coefficients with high index represent fine information.

This multiresolution feature of wavelets can be exploited to compress a signal, that is, to use fewer coefficients to represent it. Here is an example.

Consider the signal

$$u = (2.4, 2.2, 2.15, 2.05, 6.8, 2.8, -1.1, -1.3),$$

whose Haar transform is

$$c = (2, 0.2, 0.1, 3, 0.1, 0.05, 2, 0.1).$$

The piecewise-linear curves corresponding to u and c are shown in Figure 3.6.

Since some of the coefficients in c are small (smaller than or equal to 0.2) we can compress c by replacing them by 0.




Figure 3.6: A signal and its Haar transform

We get

$$c_2 = (2, 0, 0, 3, 0, 0, 2, 0),$$

and the reconstructed signal is

$$u_2 = (2, 2, 2, 2, 7, 3, -1, -1).$$

The piecewise-linear curves corresponding to u_2 and c_2 are shown in Figure 3.7.




Figure 3.7: A compressed signal and its compressed Haar transform

An interesting (and amusing) application of the Haar wavelets is to the compression of audio signals.

It turns out that if your type `load handel` in `Matlab` an audio file will be loaded in a vector denoted by y , and if you type `sound(y)`, the computer will play this piece of music.

You can convert y to its vector of Haar coefficients, c . The length of y is 73113, so first tuncate the tail of y to get a vector of length $65536 = 2^{16}$.

A plot of the signals corresponding to y and c is shown in Figure 3.8.




Figure 3.8: The signal “handel” and its Haar transform

Then, run a program that sets all coefficients of c whose absolute value is less than 0.05 to zero. This sets 37272 coefficients to 0.

The resulting vector c_2 is converted to a signal y_2 . A plot of the signals corresponding to y_2 and c_2 is shown in Figure 3.9.




Figure 3.9: The compressed signal “handel” and its Haar transform

When you type `sound(y2)`, you find that the music doesn’t differ much from the original, although it sounds less crisp.

3.5 Haar Transform for Digital Images

Another neat property of the Haar transform is that it can be instantly generalized to matrices (even rectangular) without any extra effort!

This allows for the compression of digital images. But first, we address the issue of normalization of the Haar coefficients.

As we observed earlier, the $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix W_n of Haar basis vectors has orthogonal columns, but its columns do not have unit length.

As a consequence, W_n^\top is not the inverse of W_n , but rather the matrix

$$W_n^{-1} = D_n W_n^\top$$

with

$$D_n = \text{diag} \left(2^{-n}, \underbrace{2^{-n}}_{2^0}, \underbrace{2^{-(n-1)}, 2^{-(n-1)}}_{2^1}, \underbrace{2^{-(n-2)}, \dots, 2^{-(n-2)}}_{2^2}, \dots, \underbrace{2^{-1}, \dots, 2^{-1}}_{2^{n-1}} \right).$$

Therefore, we define the orthogonal matrix

$$H_n = W_n D_n^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

whose columns are the normalized Haar basis vectors, with

$$D_n^{\frac{1}{2}} = \text{diag} \left(2^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \underbrace{2^{-\frac{n}{2}}}_{2^0}, \underbrace{2^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}, 2^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}}_{2^1}, \right. \\ \left. \underbrace{2^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}, \dots, 2^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}}_{2^2}, \dots, \underbrace{2^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \dots, 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}}_{2^{n-1}} \right).$$

We call H_n the *normalized Haar transform matrix*.

Because H_n is orthogonal, $H_n^{-1} = H_n^\top$.

Given a vector (signal) u , we call $c = H_n^\top u$ the *normalized Haar coefficients* of u .

When computing the sequence of u^j s, use

$$\begin{aligned}u^{j+1}(2i-1) &= (u^j(i) + u^j(2^j + i))/\sqrt{2} \\ u^{j+1}(2i) &= (u^j(i) - u^j(2^j + i))/\sqrt{2},\end{aligned}$$

and when computing the sequence of c^j s, use

$$\begin{aligned}c^j(i) &= (c^{j+1}(2i-1) + c^{j+1}(2i))/\sqrt{2} \\ c^j(2^j + i) &= (c^{j+1}(2i-1) - c^{j+1}(2i))/\sqrt{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that things are now more symmetric, at the expense of a division by $\sqrt{2}$. However, for long vectors, it turns out that these algorithms are numerically more stable.

Let us now explain the 2D version of the Haar transform.

We describe the version using the matrix W_n , the method using H_n being identical (except that $H_n^{-1} = H_n^\top$, but this does not hold for W_n^{-1}).

Given a $2^m \times 2^n$ matrix A , we can first convert the *rows* of A to their Haar coefficients using the Haar transform W_n^{-1} , obtaining a matrix B , and then convert the *columns* of B to their Haar coefficients, using the matrix W_m^{-1} .

Because columns and rows are exchanged in the first step,

$$B = A(W_n^{-1})^\top,$$

and in the second step $C = W_m^{-1}B$, thus, we have

$$C = W_m^{-1}A(W_n^{-1})^\top = D_m W_m^\top A W_n D_n.$$

In the other direction, given a matrix C of Haar coefficients, we reconstruct the matrix A (the image) by first applying W_m to the columns of C , obtaining B , and then W_n^\top to the rows of B . Therefore

$$A = W_m C W_n^\top.$$

Of course, we don't actually have to invert W_m and W_n and perform matrix multiplications. We just have to use our algorithms using averaging and differencing.

Here is an example. If the data matrix (the image) is the 8×8 matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 64 & 2 & 3 & 61 & 60 & 6 & 7 & 57 \\ 9 & 55 & 54 & 12 & 13 & 51 & 50 & 16 \\ 17 & 47 & 46 & 20 & 21 & 43 & 42 & 24 \\ 40 & 26 & 27 & 37 & 36 & 30 & 31 & 33 \\ 32 & 34 & 35 & 29 & 28 & 38 & 39 & 25 \\ 41 & 23 & 22 & 44 & 45 & 19 & 18 & 48 \\ 49 & 15 & 14 & 52 & 53 & 11 & 10 & 56 \\ 8 & 58 & 59 & 5 & 4 & 62 & 63 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then applying our algorithms, we find that

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 32.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -4 & 4 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -4 & 4 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 27 & -25 & 23 & -21 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.5 & -0.5 & -11 & 9 & -7 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & -5 & 7 & -9 & 11 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.5 & -0.5 & 21 & -23 & 25 & -27 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As we can see, C has more zero entries than A ; it is a compressed version of A . We can further compress C by setting to 0 all entries of absolute value at most 0.5. Then, we get

$$C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 32.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -4 & 4 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -4 & 4 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 27 & -25 & 23 & -21 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -11 & 9 & -7 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -5 & 7 & -9 & 11 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 21 & -23 & 25 & -27 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We find that the reconstructed image is

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 63.5 & 1.5 & 3.5 & 61.5 & 59.5 & 5.5 & 7.5 & 57.5 \\ 9.5 & 55.5 & 53.5 & 11.5 & 13.5 & 51.5 & 49.5 & 15.5 \\ 17.5 & 47.5 & 45.5 & 19.5 & 21.5 & 43.5 & 41.5 & 23.5 \\ 39.5 & 25.5 & 27.5 & 37.5 & 35.5 & 29.5 & 31.5 & 33.5 \\ 31.5 & 33.5 & 35.5 & 29.5 & 27.5 & 37.5 & 39.5 & 25.5 \\ 41.5 & 23.5 & 21.5 & 43.5 & 45.5 & 19.5 & 17.5 & 47.5 \\ 49.5 & 15.5 & 13.5 & 51.5 & 53.5 & 11.5 & 9.5 & 55.5 \\ 7.5 & 57.5 & 59.5 & 5.5 & 3.5 & 61.5 & 63.5 & 1.5 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is pretty close to the original image matrix A .

It turns out that **Matlab** has a wonderful command, **image(X)**, which displays the matrix X as an image.

The images corresponding to A and C are shown in Figure 3.10. The compressed images corresponding to A_2 and C_2 are shown in Figure 3.11.

The compressed versions appear to be indistinguishable from the originals!




Figure 3.10: An image and its Haar transform




Figure 3.11: Compressed image and its Haar transform

If we use the normalized matrices H_m and H_n , then the equations relating the image matrix A and its normalized Haar transform C are

$$\begin{aligned}C &= H_m^\top A H_n \\A &= H_m C H_n^\top.\end{aligned}$$

The Haar transform can also be used to send large images progressively over the internet.

Observe that instead of performing all rounds of averaging and differencing on each row and each column, we can perform partial encoding (and decoding).

For example, we can perform a single round of averaging and differencing for each row and each column.

The result is an image consisting of four subimages, where the top left quarter is a coarser version of the original, and the rest (consisting of three pieces) contain the finest detail coefficients.

We can also perform two rounds of averaging and differencing, or three rounds, *etc.* This process is illustrated on the image shown in Figure 3.12. The result of performing



Figure 3.12: Original drawing by Durer

one round, two rounds, three rounds, and nine rounds of averaging is shown in Figure 3.13.

Since our images have size 512×512 , nine rounds of averaging yields the Haar transform, displayed as the image on the bottom right. The original image has completely disappeared!




Figure 3.13: Haar tranforms after one, two, three, and nine rounds of averaging

We can find easily a basis of $2^n \times 2^n = 2^{2n}$ vectors w_{ij} ($2^n \times 2^n$ matrices) for the linear map that reconstructs an image from its Haar coefficients, in the sense that for any matrix C of Haar coefficients, the image matrix A is given by

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} c_{ij} w_{ij}.$$

Indeed, the matrix w_{ij} is given by the so-called outer product

$$w_{ij} = w_i (w_j)^\top.$$

Similarly, there is a basis of $2^n \times 2^n = 2^{2n}$ vectors h_{ij} ($2^n \times 2^n$ matrices) for the 2D Haar transform, in the sense that for any matrix A , its matrix C of Haar coefficients is given by

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^{2^n} a_{ij} h_{ij}.$$

If the columns of W^{-1} are w'_1, \dots, w'_{2^n} , then

$$h_{ij} = w'_i (w'_j)^\top.$$